

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 CURTIS J. WILLIAMS,

11 Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-05-0164 JAM EFB P

12 vs.

13 R. W. SANDHAM, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 _____/
16 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action
17 seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate
18 Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

19 On January 19, 2011, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days.
22 Defendants have filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule
24 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the
25 entire file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by
26 proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed January 19, 2011, are adopted in full;

2. Defendants' motions to dismiss (Dckt. Nos. 125 and 127), both premised on plaintiff's failure to file a timely pretrial statement, are denied; and

3. Defendants are directed to file their pretrial statement no later than 30 days from the date of service of this order.

DATED: March 31, 2011

/s/ John A. Mendez
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE